```
Michael L. Lipman (CA SBN 66605)
1
    Barbara H. Murray (CA SBN 177254)
    Jason M. Ohta (CA SBN 211107)
2
     (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
    DUANE MORRIS LLP
3
     750 B Street, Ste. 2900
     San Diego, CA 92101
4
     Telephone: 619.744.2209
    Facsimile: 619.744.2201
5
     email: mllipman@duanemorris.com
           bhmurray@duanemorris.com
6
           johta@duanemorris.com
     Attorneys for Defendant RONALD J. HOLMES
7
     Ryan A. Loosvelt (NV SBN 8550)
8
     DUANE MORRIS LLP
     100 North City Parkway, Suite 1560
9
     Las Vegas, NV 89106-4617
     Telephone: 702.868.2600
10
     Facsimile: 702.385.6862
     Email: rloosvelt@duanemorris.com
11
     Designated Resident Nevada Counsel
     for Defendant RONALD J. HOLMES
12
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13
                                     DISTRICT OF NEVADA
14
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                                 Case # 2:13-CR-192-LRH-GWF-1
15
                  Plaintiff(s),
           vs.
16
    RONALD J. HOLMES,
17
                  Defendant(s).
18
19
       STIPULATION TO VACATE CALENDAR CALL, CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND SET
20
                                MATTER FOR CHANGE OF PLEA
21
           IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Daniel Bodgen, United
22
     States Attorney, and Daniel R. Schiess, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United
23
     States of America; and Michael L. Lipman, Barbara H. Murray, and Jason M. Ohta of Duane Morris
24
     LLP, counsel for Ronald J. Holmes, that the calendar call currently scheduled for November 7, 2013,
25
     at the hour of 9:00 a.m. be vacated; the trial currently scheduled for November 19, 2013 at the hour
26
     of 9:00 a.m. be vacated and continued to January 28, 2014 at the hour of 9:00 a.m.; and the matter be
27
```

DM1\4204988.1

28

set for a change of plea on December 10, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. before the Honorable Howard D. McKibben.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. Defendant Ronald J. Holmes is named in an Indictment setting forth three counts and six forfeiture allegations. The Indictment charges Mr. Holmes with conspiracy to commit mail fraud, wire fraud, and bank fraud beginning in or about 2006, and continuing to in or about 2009. The Indictment also charges Mr. Holmes with two counts of bank fraud during this same time.
- 2. The Indictment was returned on May 29, 2013. Mr. Holmes made his initial appearance on May 30, 2013, at which time attorney Michael Miceli was appointed to represent him. Mr. Holmes is not in custody.
- 3. Mr. Holmes' family retained Duane Morris LLP to represent Mr. Holmes in this case. Attorneys Michael L. Lipman, Barbara H. Murray and Jason M. Ohta filed *pro hac vice* applications on July 26, 2013. This Court approved the applications on August 19, 2013.
- 4. Counsel for Defendant need additional time to meet with Mr. Holmes and review the voluminous discovery that exists in this case.
- 5. Were the request for continuance denied, counsel would not have the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and a miscarriage of justice could result.
- 6. The ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 7. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
 - 8. Furthermore, Mr. Holmes is prepared to enter a change of plea.

Dated: November 1, 2013 Respectfully Submitted,

DUANE MORRIS LLP

By:/S/ Michael L. Lipman /S/ Daniel R. Schiess

MICHAEL L. LIPMAN DANIEL R. SCHIESS

Attorneys for Defendant Ronald J. Holmes Assistant U.S. Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case # 2:13-CR-192-LRH-GWF-1
Plaintiff(s),)
vs.)
RONALD J. HOLMES,	
Defendant(s).	
	,

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- 1. Defendant Ronald J. Holmes is named in an Indictment setting forth three counts and six forfeiture allegations. The Indictment charges Mr. Holmes with conspiracy to commit mail fraud, wire fraud, and bank fraud beginning in or about 2006, and continuing to in or about 2009. The Indictment also charges Mr. Holmes with two counts of bank fraud during this same time.
- 2. The Indictment was returned on May 29, 2013. Mr. Holmes made his initial appearance on May 30, 2013, at which time attorney Michael Miceli was appointed to represent him. Mr. Holmes is not in custody.
- 3. Mr. Holmes' family retained Duane Morris LLP to represent Mr. Holmes in this case. Attorneys Michael L. Lipman, Barbara H. Murray and Jason M. Ohta filed *pro hac vice* applications on July 26, 2013. This Court approved the *pro hac vice* applications on August 19, 2013.
- 4. Counsel for Defendant needs additional time to meet with Mr. Holmes and review the voluminous discovery that exists in this case.
- 5. Were the request for continuance denied, counsel would not have the reasonable time necessary for effective pretrial and trial preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and a miscarriage of justice could result.
- 6. The ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweighs the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

- 7. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
 - 8. Furthermore, Mr. Holmes is prepared to enter a change of plea.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on the facts set forth above, the Court hereby concludes that the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would likely result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties sufficient time and opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Action, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the calendar call currently scheduled for November 7, 2013, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. be vacated; the trial currently scheduled for November 19, 2013 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. be vacated and continued to January 28, 2014 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. in a Las Vegas Courtroom to be determined; and the matter be set for a change of plea on December 10, 2013 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. before the honorable Howard D. McKibben.

Dated this ______ day of _______, 2013.

HONORABLE LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE